# ACUTE EFFECTS OF BILATERAL AND UNILATERAL WHOLE BODY VIBRATION TRAINING ON JUMPING ABILITY, ASYMMETRY, AND BILATERAL DEFICIT ON FORMER ARTISTIC GYMNASTS

# George Dallas<sup>1</sup>, Costas Dallas<sup>1</sup>, Vasiliki Kolovou<sup>1</sup>, Panagiotis Pappas<sup>1</sup>, Vasilis Mellos<sup>2</sup>, Giorgos Paradisis<sup>1</sup>

1 Kapodistrian University of Athens, School of Physical Education and Sport Science, Athens Greece

2 University of Thessaly, Physical Education and Sport Science, Trikala, Greece

DOI:10.52165/sgj.14.1.59-71

## Abstract

Whole-body vibration (WBV) has been used to improve jumping ability, muscle strength, power, and performance in various sports. Bilateral deficit (BLD) is defined as the difference in the magnitude of the maximum force during single or double support. The present study investigated the effect of unilateral and bilateral whole-body vibration (WBV) exercise on jumping ability, asymmetry and BLD on former artistic gymnasts. Twenty-eight former artistic gymnasts volunteered to participate in this study. Participants performed 4 experimental protocols on nonconsecutive days in a random order. Each protocol included a 3-min-warm-up running on the treadmill at 2.22 m.s<sup>-1</sup>, followed by a 2-min rest. The intervention protocols were: a) WBV with feet [bipedal] (WBVB), b) WBV with single foot [unilateral] (WBVU), c) WBVB with the device turn-off (NWBVB), and d) WBVU with the device turn-off (NWBVU). The dependent variables were the squat jump (SJ) and counter movement jump (CMJ) with both feet (bilateral) and with single leg (unilateral). Results showed a significant interaction effect between the condition and time on SJ on both condition (bilateral and unilateral) and CMJ, whereas significant main effect was found for the condition and for time on SJ. Conclusively, the WBV unilateral condition improves significantly lower limbs symmetry during SJ performance. Further, bilateral WBV (WBV B) was the most effective condition on bilateral and unilateral SJ and CMJ performance.

Keywords: Bilateral deficit, Single leg vertical jump, Asymmetry.

# INTRODUCTION

Coaches use different types of training methods to enhance the muscle power of athletes on different sports. Plyometric training has been extensively applied using muscles' stretch reflexes and stretchshortening cycles to enhance muscular function and power (Chelly, Ghenem, Abid, Hermassi, Tabka, Shephard, 2010; Park, Lee, Lee, 2014). Recently, wholebody vibration (WBV) has been used to improve jumping ability, muscle strength, power, and performance in various sports (Dallas, Kirialanis, 2013; Dallas, Paradisis, Mellos, 2013; Dallas, Paradisis, Kirialanis, Argitaki, Mellos. Smirniotou. 2015: Dallas, Tsopani, Papouliakos, Riga, Korres, 2016; Kim, et al., 2016; Petit, Pensini, Desnuelle, Legros, Tessaro,

Original article

Colson, 2010; Tsopani et al., 2014). During vibration, the lower extremities of the subjects receive repeated alternating concentric \_ eccentric stimulations affecting the muscular and nervous system. Furthermore, during WBV training, subjects usually stand on both legs to improve leg strength and/or flexibility (Dallas, Kirialanis, 2013; Dallas, Paradisis, Mellos, 2013). Factors such as enhanced motor excitability (Cardinale, Bosco, 2003), recruitment of previously inactive motor units (Mischi, Cardinale, 2009), increased muscle temperature and blood flow (Bosco, Cardinale, Tsarpela, 1999) as well as facilitating neural functions resulting from tonic vibration reflex (Lapole, Perot, 2010) are responsible for the upcoming improvement. The difference in the magnitude of the maximum force during single or double support is referred to as the bilateral deficit (BLD) and is defined as the decrease in the magnitude of the force produced from bilateral movements of the limbs compared to the sum of forces produced by the right and left limbs when acting separately (Sale, 1992). Examining the lower limbs' strength during maximal or submaximal intensities (Kuruganti, Murphy, 2008) is subject to incorporating a simultaneous activation of numerus muscle groups. However, it is well known that the recruitment of motor units during bilateral contractions is lower compared to unilateral contractions, and that the unilateral training increases one's ability to generate maximal strength in relation to bilateral training (Rejc, Lazzer, the Antonutto, Isola, di Prampero, 2010). McCurdy et al. (2005) indicated that unilateral training was more effective during unilateral testing of vertical jump height compared to bilateral training, whereas during bilateral testing, the improvements in jumping ability were similar in both groups.

Functional asymmetry (FA) or bilateral strength asymmetry (BSA) is a phenomenon that is often observed in sports and characterize the side-to-side differences in kinetics and/or kinematics during task performance (Newton et al., 2006). FA is responsible for the occurrence of injuries (Croisier, Ganteaume, Binet, Genty, Ferret, 2008; Murphy, Connolly, Beynnon, 2003) or re-injuries (Myer, Brent, Ford, Hewett, 2011), while also adversely affecting performance (Young, James, Montgomery, 2002). Possible reasons for FA / BSA might be specific motor demands of different sports and training methods (Mayer, Schlumberger, Henrotin, Van Cingel, Laube, Schmidtbleicher, 2003; Newton et al., 2005) and differences in neural control and/or how the body may learn to perform a motor skill as well. The concept of interlimb asymmetries compares the performance of one limb in respect to the other (Keeley, Plummer, Oliver, 2011) quantifying these inter-limb differences between the dominant vs. non-dominant (Rouissi et al., 2016), the stronger vs. weaker (Sato, & Heise, 2012) the right vs. left (Atkins, Bentley, Hurst, Sinclair, Hesketh, 2016), showing that inter-limb asymmetries of about 10% result in reductions in jump height (Bell, Sanfilippo, Binkley, & Heiderscheit, 2014; Lilley, Bradshaw, Rice, 2007). More specifically, as Ford and colleagues stated, uneven limb loading lower patterns during jumping and landing have been previously thought of as a mechanism of injury (Ford, Myer, Smith, Hewett, 2003). In another study. Newton et al. (2005) found a difference of 8% in force production during the single leg vertical jump between the dominant and non-dominant leg in college-level athletes, whereas Stephens, Lawson, De Voe, and Reiser. (2007) revealed a similar difference between legs in volleyball players. Furthermore, previous data by Ceroni, Martin. Farpour-Lambert, Delhumeau. 2012) showed a difference of 8.8% and 8.95% in force production in female and male teenagers, respectively.

Given the asymmetry of the lower limbs, it is possible that the amount of vibratory stimulation that each limb receives is different during body weight support, and the magnitude of this effect depends on the condition of each limb. Therefore. training using unilateral vibratory stimulation can be a suitable method of improving the functional ability of a weak lower limb and the asymmetry of functional ability between both lower There are not many studies limbs. concerning the effect of WBV on bilateral deficit or/and lower limbs asymmetry Hazell. Garcia-Gutierrez. (Marin. & Cochrane, 2014; Shin, Lee, Song, 2015; Yapicioglu, Colakoglu, Colakoglou, Gulluoglu, Bademkiran, Ozkaya, 2013). Some of them use 1RM test or isometric contractions to examine the BLD, whereas no study uses isotonic contraction during WBV protocols to examine the BLD (Costa, Moreira, Cavalcanti, Krinski, & Aoki, 2015; Shin, Lee, Song, 2015). Further, findings by Yapicioglu et al. (2013), who examined the short-term performance outcomes and neurological effects of three different warm-up methods (static stretching [SS]; dynamic warm-up [DW]; and tendon vibration combined with found significant SS [TVSS]). а improvement in jump height performance following the DW whereas TVSS did not vield negative effects. Additionally, Marin et al., examined if WBV exposure during one-legged static semi-squat would benefit muscle performance in the non-exposed contralateral leg and found that acute WBV bout augments cross-transfer in neuromuscular performance of explosive power parameters (Marin, Hazell, Garcia-Gutierrez, & Cochrane, 2014). It must be noted that bilateral training increases bilateral force production more than unilateral force production and reduces BLD, while unilateral training increases unilateral force production more than bilateral force production, therefore. increases the BLD (Hakkinen, Kallinen, Linnamo, Pastinen, Kraemer, 1996). To

the author's knowledge there is paucity concerning the acute effect of unilateral and bipedal WBV training on jumping ability, asymmetry and BLD on the same sample that performed the same protocols with and without WBV exposure. Furthermore. studies no exist that examined lower limb asymmetry on gymnasts. The present study investigated the effect of unilateral and bilateral WBV exercise on jumping ability, asymmetry and BLD in former artistic gymnasts. It was hypothesized that a BLD would occur during the assessment of jumping testing when using both limbs simultaneously; and that a unilateral WBV exposure would reduce asymmetry between the lower limbs.

# METHODS

Twenty-eight former artistic gymnasts with 12 years training experience, aged  $22.43 \pm 2.44$  years; height:  $168.73 \pm$ 5.81cm; body mass:  $61.66 \pm 9.29$ kg volunteered to participate in this study. Body mass (kg) was measured to the nearest 0.01kg (Seca 770 UK), and body height was measured to the nearest 0.1cm using a stadiometer (Seca Leicester, UK). Former gymnasts who retired more than 4 years ago as well as those who had injury/ies problem in the last 3 months were excluded from the study.

The participants were physically active for 10-12 h w<sup>-1</sup> because of the nature of their studies. During the study they were asked to abstain from any activity other than those they required in their university courses. All participants had experience in vertical jumping with one and two legs and were familiarized with the vibration equipment and with the measurements in a preliminary session. Furthermore, a written informed concept was obtained from each participant after an extensive explanation of the purpose and experimental design of the study. The approved studv was by the local Institutional Review Board and all procedures were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

randomized. counterbalanced. А within-subjects experimental design was conducted in order to investigate the acute effects of unilateral and bipedal WBV training on jumping ability, asymmetry, and BLD. The study was carried out over the course of 4 sessions on nonconsecutive Participants performed days. 4 experimental protocols, at the same time of the day, in a random order. Each protocol included a 3-minute warm up running on the treadmill at 2.22m.s<sup>-1</sup>, followed by a 2minute rest. Participants attended a total of 5 data-collection sessions including a familiarization session. The intervention protocols were as follows: a) whole body vibration with both feet [bipedal] (WBVB), b) WBV with single foot [unilateral] (WBVU), c) WBVB but the device was turned-off (NWBVB), and d) WBVU but the device was turned-off (NWBVU). Participants in the WBV

protocols were exposed to vertical sinusoidal mechanical WBV while standing on the Power Plate® Next Generation platform (Power Plate North WBV America, Northbrook, Illinois), whereas participants in the NWBV protocols performed the same protocol with the WBV device turned off. Vibration platform settings included a frequency of 50Hz with the peak-to-peak displacement of 2.51mm amplitude for a total time of 3 min. A schematic representation of the protocols is presented in Table 1. During performance of each protocol a 30 sec rest was mediated between each set. The rest period of 30 sec is supported by previous study that assessed jumping performance in high level gymnasts (Dallas et al, 2019).

During all conditions, subjects wore the same athletic shoes to standardize the damping of the vibration because of the footwear (Marin, Bunker, Rhea, Ayllon, 2009).

Table 1

A schematic representation of the intervention program (Protocols).

WBVB	WBVU	NWBVB	NWBVU
6 set * 30 sec	3 set * 30 sec	6 set * 30 sec	3 set * 30 sec
SCOP	for each log SSOP	SCOP	for each log SSOP
<u>SSQP</u>	lor each leg SSQP	SSQP	for each leg SSQP

SSQP: static semi squat position

Jumping ability was evaluated by the jump height of squat jump (SJ) and counter movement jump (CMJ) with both feet (bilateral) and with single leg (unilateral). Testing was performed before intervention to determine the initial level of performance (baseline values), immediately after, and 8 minutes after the intervention. During the first session, 3 min after the warm-up, participants performed the SJ and CMJ with both legs and with single leg separately on a Chrono Jump platform, in a random order. During the testing, the arms were held on the hips and the participants tried to jump upward, leaving the platform with the knees and ankles extended and landing with straight knees in the upright position. Three trials were made with a 60 sec rest between them and the best trial of JH was recorded for further statistical analysis.

A common test to assess the functional performance ability of both power limbs is the jump test (Petschnig, Baron, Albrecht, 1998) and single leg vertical jump (SLVJ) (Kivlan, Martin, 2012), and the results can be represented using the limb symmetry index (LSI) (Marin, Hazell, Garcia-Gutierrez, & Cochrane, 2014). An LSI  $\geq$  90% should be considered in the normal range, therefore an asymmetry exists if there is > 10%

difference between the two lower limbs (O'Donnell, Thomas, Marks, 2006). The LSI is calculated by taking the average of any test scores for the affected limb divided by the unaffected limb multiplied by 100 to obtain a percentage difference between limbs.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 24 (IBM, New York, USA). A two-way (condition x time) ANOVA with repeated measures on the second factor was used for the statistical analysis. The Shapiro-Wilk method was conducted to check the normality of the data. Furthermore, a three-way (condition x time x gender) ANOVA was used to examine the effect of gender. Sphericity was checked using Mauchly's test, and the Greenhouse-Geisser's correction on degrees of freedom was applied when necessary. The Levene's test of equality of error variances was used to check the assumption of homogeneity of variances. In cases where interaction between the condition and time was detected, the simple effects were investigated, and the Bonferonni's correction was used. In the absence of interaction, the main effects of the two factors (condition and time) on the dependent variables were investigated. All statistical significances were tested at  $\alpha =$ 0.05.

# RESULTS

### Table 2.

Descriptive statistics in dependent variables among different intervention methods.

		WBV B	WBV U	NWBV B	NWBV U
	Pre	$26.59 \pm 4.83$	$26.30\pm3.93$	$27.18 \pm 4.47$	$26.69\pm4.88$
SJ (cm)	Post 1	$28.86 \pm 5.80$	$26.55\pm5.46$	$28.50\pm5.45$	$26.26 \pm 5.11$
		1			
	Post 8	$27.43 \pm 5.08$	$26.22\pm5.93$	$27.72 \pm 5.37$	25.01 ± 4.94 #
		$\downarrow$			
	Pre	$26.25 \pm 4.73$	$25.82\pm5.29$	$27.22\pm3.93$	$27.54\pm6.33$
RLLLSJ (cm)	Post 1	$27.85\pm6.47$	$25.73\pm8.70$	$26.39\pm6.80$	25.43 ± 5.95 ↓
		↑			·
	Post 8	$27.51 \pm 6.06$	$24.89 \pm 5.75$	$26.29\pm5.55$	$24.75\pm6.17$
	Pre	$27.56 \pm 4.35$	$27.29 \pm 4.81$	$28.10 \pm 4.13$	$27.72 \pm 5.34$
CMJ (cm)	Post 1	$28.91 \pm 5.99$	$27.70\pm5.77$	$30.10\pm5.63$	$27.54 \pm 5.36$
		1			
	Post 8	$28.88 \pm 5.83$	$28.14\pm5.57$	$28.10 \pm 5.11$	25.92 ± 5.16 #
		#	#		
	Pre	$28.02 \pm 4.85$	$26.29\pm5.47$	$27.63 \pm 4.10$	$27.16 \pm 4.82$
RLLLCMJ (cm)	Post 1	$29.42\pm7.01$	$26.15\pm5.60$	$28.81 \pm 6.16$	$27.53 \pm 5.87$
	Post 8	$28.84\pm 6.80$	$26.61\pm5.88$	$28.32\pm5.45$	$25.73\pm5.26$

WBV B: Whole body vibration bilateral; WBV U: Whole body vibration unilateral;

NWBV B: No Whole-body vibration bilateral; NWBV U: Whole body vibration unilateral; RLLLSJ: Right Leg plus Left Leg Squat Jump;

RLLLCMJ: Right Leg plus Left Leg Counter Movement jump

↑ significant increase between pre- and post-1

↓ Significant reduction between pre and post 1

# Significant increase between pre- and post-8

		WBV B	WBV U	NWBV B	NWBV U
	Pre	$13.39\pm2.56$	$13.16\pm2.47$	$14.23\pm2.27$	$14.23\pm3.22$
	Post 1	$14.45 \pm 3.56 \uparrow$	$12.29\pm3.03\downarrow$	$13.44\pm3.24$	$13.14\pm3.14\downarrow$
RLSJ	Post 8	$14.05\pm3.40$	$12.56\pm3.10$	$13.37\pm2.72$	$12.79\pm3.51~{\rm ¥}$
(SLL)	Post 1 – Pre	$1.05\pm1.54$	$0.870 \pm 1.51$	$0.78\pm2.49$	$1.08 \pm 1.16$
	Post 8 - Pre	$0.66 \pm 1.49$	$0.60\pm1.52$	$0.68 \pm 1.09$	$0.34 \pm 1.30$
LLSJ (WLL)	Pre	$13.20\pm2.07$	$12.62\pm2.96$	$13.06\pm1.83$	$13.30\pm3.27$
	Post 1	$13.75\pm3.31$	$12.28\pm2.81$	$13.31\pm3.33$	$12.37 \pm 2.89 \downarrow$
	Post 8	$13.45\pm2.70$	$12.32\pm2.91$	$13.11\pm2.69$	$11.95\pm2.78$
	Post 1 – Pre	$0.54\pm2.35$	$0.34 \pm 1.04$	$0.24\pm2.52$	$0.93 \pm 1.07$
	Post 8 - Pre	$0.24 \pm 1.81$	$0.29 \pm 1.92$	$0.20\pm0.91$	$1.35\pm1.17$
RLCMJ (SLL)	Pre	$14.60\pm2.53$	$13.52\pm2.67$	$13.96\pm2.53$	$14.03\pm2.64$
	Post 1	$15.01\pm3.24$	$13.34\pm3.13$	$14.72\pm3.12$	$14.20\pm2.90$
	Post 8	$14.75\pm3.38$	$13.80\pm3.06$	$13.37\pm3.08$	$13.13 \pm 2.69 \ $
	Post 1 – Pre	$0.41 \pm 1.32$	$0.18 \pm 1.47$	$0.76 \pm 1.85$	$0.17\pm1.35$
	Post 8 - Pre	$0.15\pm1.67$	$0.45 \pm 1.23$	$1.35\pm1.17$	$1.07\pm1.30$
LLCMJ (WLL)	Pre	$13.42\pm2.51$	$12.76\pm2.91$	$13.66\pm1.92$	$13.09\pm2.39$
	Post 1	$14.40 \pm 3.89 \uparrow$	$12.80\pm2.58$	$14.08\pm3.26$	$13.31\pm3.12$
	Post 8	$13.81\pm2.72$	$12.81\pm3.01$	$14.16\pm3.73$	$12.59\pm2.86~{\rm \ensuremath{{\rm \ensuremath{{\rm H}}}}}$
	Post 1 – Pre	$0.98\pm2.33$	$0.03\pm0.93$	$0.42\pm2.45$	$0.22\pm1.19$
	Post 8 - Pre	$0.38 \pm 1.58$	$0.01 \pm 1.07$	$0.07 \pm 1.31$	$0.50\pm1.16$

# Table 3Changes in single leg SJ and CMJ performance.

WBV B: Whole body vibration bilateral; WBV U: Whole body vibration unilateral; NWBV B: No Whole-body vibration bilateral; NWBV U: Whole body vibration unilateral; RLSJ: Right leg squat jump; LLSJ: Left leg squat jump;

RLCMJ: Right leg counter movement jump; LLCMJ: Left leg counter movement jump

↑ significant increase between pre- and post-1

 $\downarrow$  Significant reduction between pre and post 1

¥ Significant decrease between pre- and post

#### Table 4

Changes in symmetry.

		WBV B	WBV U	NWBV B	NWBV U
	Pre	$97.47 \pm 10.28$	$95.06\pm8.74$	$92.54\pm8.84$	$94.34\pm10.82$
LSI (%)	Post 1	$94.89 \pm 7.04$	$100.55 \pm 6.62$ *	$99.06 \pm 5.67$ *	$95.26\pm13.47$
USJ	Post 1 – Pre	$2.57 \pm 11.45$	$-5.49\pm9.58$	$-6.52 \pm 9.04$	$\textbf{-0.91} \pm 8.54$
	Pre	$91.10\pm6.29$	$94.08\pm8.26$	$99.17 \pm 12.00$	$93.40\pm10.37$
LSI (%)	Post 1	$94.84 \pm 10.02$	$96.97 \pm 8.86$	$95.99 \pm 10.20$	$93.62\pm10.06$
UCMJ	Post 1 – Pre	$3.74 \pm 11.47$	$-2.89\pm8.28$	$3.17\pm\!\!13.34$	$\textbf{-0.21} \pm 10.95$

Values are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation

LSI: Limb symmetry index; USJ: Unilateral Squat Jump;

UCMJ: Unilateral Counter Movement Jump; WBV B: Whole body vibration bilateral;

WBV U: Whole body vibration unilateral; NWBV B: No Whole-body vibration bilateral; NWBV U: Whole body vibration unilateral;

\*Significant difference between the pre- and post-intervention values

Significant interaction effect between condition and time was found on: **SJ**: F <sub>(6)</sub> = 5.454, p = .001, n<sup>2</sup> = .168, power = .996; **CMJ**: F <sub>(6)</sub> = 13.788, p = .001, n<sup>2</sup> = .168, power = 1.000; **RLSJ**: F <sub>(6)</sub> = 9.987, p = .001, n<sup>2</sup> = .270, power = 1.000; **LLSJ**: F <sub>(6)</sub> = 3.929, p = .001, n<sup>2</sup> = .127, power = .966; **RLCMJ**: F <sub>(6)</sub> = 5.308, p = .001, n<sup>2</sup> = .164, power = .995.

Furthermore, significant main effect was found for condition on: **SJ**: F  $_{(3)}$  = 6.125, p = .001, n<sup>2</sup> = .185, power = .954; **CMJ**: F  $_{(3)}$  = 4.008, p = .01, n<sup>2</sup> = .129, power = .821; **RLSJ**: F  $_{(3)}$  = 5.569, p = .002, n<sup>2</sup> = .171, power = .933; **LLSJ**: F  $_{(3)}$ = 4.342, p = .006, n<sup>2</sup> = .141, power = .860; **RLCMJ**: F  $_{(3)}$  = 10.626, p = .001, n<sup>2</sup> = .282, power = .998, and **LLCMJ**: F  $_{(3)}$  = 8.978, p = .001, n<sup>2</sup> = .250, power = .994.

Also, significant main effect was found for time on: **SJ**: F  $_{(2)} = 8.296$ , p = .001, n<sup>2</sup> = .235, power = .953; **CMJ**: F  $_{(2)} =$ 12.055, p = .001, n<sup>2</sup> = .309, power = .993; **RLSJ**: F  $_{(2)} = 4.911$ , p = .011, n<sup>2</sup> = .154, power = .784; **RLCMJ**: F  $_{(2)} = 5.290$ , p = .008, n<sup>2</sup> = .164, power = .816.

Pairwise comparison revealed statistically significant differences on: (i) SJ: condition 1: pre vs post 1 (p = .001), and post 1 vs post 8 (p = .001); and condition 4 pre vs post 8 (p = .001), and post 1 vs post 8 (p = .001); (ii) on CMJ: condition 1: pre vs post1 (p=.027), pre vs post8 = .018; condition 2: pre vs post 8 (p =.022); condition 3: pre vs post1 (p =.001), post1 vs post8 (p = .001); condition 4: pre vs post 8 (p = .001), post1 vs post8 (p = .001), (iii) on RLSJ: condition 1: pre vs post 1 (p = .004); condition 2 pre vs post 1 (p = .016), and condition 4: pre vs post 1 (p = .001), pre vs post8 (p = .001), (iv) on RLCMJ: condition 3: post1 vs post8 (p = .001), condition 4: pre vs. post 8 (p = .001); and post1 vs post8 (p = .001), (v) on LLSJ: condition 4: pre vs post1 (p =.001) and pre vs post8 (p = .001), and (vi) on LLCMJ: condition 4: post1 vs post8 (p = .020).

The mean and standard deviation for each dependent variable is presented in table 2.

In addition, the RLSJ showed significant improvement in the WBV B protocol (p < .05) (table 3).

The LSI (%) significantly improved only in unilateral condition immediately after the intervention protocols (p < .05) (table 4).

### DISCUSSION

The results revealed that bilateral WBV (WBV B) was the most effective condition on bilateral and unilateral SJ and performance. Specifically, CMJ a statistically significant improvement was revealed between the pre-test and post 1 with percentage measurement improvement of 8.54%, 4.90% on SJ and CMJ respectively, (p < .05) (Table 2). Further, an increase by 7.91% and 7.30% on RLSJ, and LLCMJ performance respectively on WBV B (p <.05) (Table 2). Consequently, our hypothesis that a BLD would occur during the assessment of jumping testing when using both limbs simultaneously was rejected. Also, it is mentioned that although a trend appeared in the rest of examined parameters (2.80%, 4.16% on RLCMJ and LLSJ, respectively), the improvement was obvious.

Unilateral WBV (WBV U) produced statistically significant improvement only on CMJ after a rest period of 8 min (Table 2). In contrast, the NWBVU showed a statistically significant reduction (p >.05) in CMJ on post 8 (Table 2). In addition, in WBV U there was a significant reduction in post 1 measurement during RLSJ performance - a finding that contradictsthe study of Taniguchi. (1998). However, it should be mentioned that WBV U group had much lower percentage reduction compared to the NWBV group.

A great number of studies have investigated the potential of WBV to enhance subsequent performance. During the stance on the vibration platform, the

subject was under the effect of bilateral vibratory stimulation which transmitted through both lower limbs. The results of our study reinforce data by previous studies that reported an enhancement of bilateral SJ performance (Rhea, Kenn, 2009) and CMJ performance (Cormie, Deane, Triplett, McBride, 2006) following acute WBV power and strength performance, and also those byTorvinen et al. (2002) and Jacobs and Burns (2009) that found an increase in unilateral isometric knee extension force and unilateral knee isokinetic torque. respectively. Our results are in contrast with those of Dallas et al. (2014) who examined young competitive gymnasts and found that the jump height was improved in the vibration group during the CMJ and unilateral (single leg) SJ. Maybe the training status of our participants, and other factors such as the type of participants' measurement, level of physical activity, contraction type, etc., may be responsible for these discrepancies (Botton et al, 2015; Hakkinen et al, 1996; Howard & Enoka, 1991; Kuruganti et al, 2005; McCurdy et al, 2005; Ramirez-Campillo et al, 2015; Speirs et al, 2016). Further, our results failed to support data by Rejc et al. (2010) that favour unilateral training as a way to increase one's ability to generate maximal strength.

According to Shin et al. (2015) the positive effect of WBV, either bilateral or unilateral, may be attributed in the fact that WBV stimulates the Ia afferent tendency of muscle spindles. А continued stimulation of the stretch reflex mechanism activates motor neurons, increasing the sensitivity of primary endings. In addition, more muscles are recruited via the muscle spindles and neuron bundles (Ronnestad, 2004). As Cochrane and Stannard stated, the mechanism by which a higher jump height occurs in SLVJ height is due to the fact that each joint of the lower limbs is flexed for instant extension for a jump, and the stretch-shortening cycle of extended muscles activate the spinal reflex for a burst of concentric contraction, in which the stretch receptors are activated in the eccentric loading phase (Cochrane & Stannard, 2005).

Baseline values showed that there was no BLD under any of the conditions, neither on SJ nor on CMJ. However, on post 1 test, a significant improvement was found in jump height to the sum of the RL and LL in CMJ (RLLLCMJ) by WBV B (p <.05) (Table 2) with a percentage improvement of 4.99%. In contrast, there was a slight reduction in the aforementioned parameter on WBV U (-0.34% and -0.53% for RLLLSJ and RLLLCMJ, respectively). It is noteworthy that an improvement was observed only in the RLLLCMJ, as was the case with the execution of the bipedal CMJ under the WBV U condition (table 2). This finding partially supports findings by Shin et al. (2015) who found that SLVJ in both the unilateral and the bilateral group revealed a considerably larger improvement than that in the no vibration group. In addition, our results are in agreement with previous data by Bogdanis et al. (2019) who found that CMJ performance with both legs significantly improved equally when following a 6-week unilateral or bilateral lower limb plyometric training, and that unilateral plyometric training of the lower limbs may be more effective when exercises were performed with each limb separately. However, this finding is in contrast with the principle of specificity which states that unilateral training primarily enhances unilateral performance whereas bilateral training improves bilateral performance (Ramirez-Campillo et al, 2015; Speirs et al, 2016).

According to Bobbert el al. (1996), a possible explanation for this improvement on CMJ may be the fact that the countermovement jump provides the ability to participants to achieve greater joint moments at the start of push-off. Therefore, joint moments were greater over the first part of the range of joint extension in CMJ, so that more work could be produced than in SJ. According to simulation results, storage and reutilization of elastic energy could be ruled out as an explanation for the enhancement of performance in CMJ over that in SJ. The crucial contribution of the countermovement seemed to be that it allowed the muscles to build up a high level of active state (fraction of attached cross-bridges) and force before the start of shortening, so that they were able to produce more work over the first part of their shortening distance.

In our study there was no obvious asymmetry between the two lower limbs (<10%). An LSI  $\geq$  90% should be considered in the normal range, therefore an asymmetry exists if there is > 10%difference between the two lower limbs (O'Donnell. Thomas. Marks. 2006). However, asymmetry decreased significantly with WBV U at USJ but not in the WBV B (Table 4), while there was an improvement trend in UCMJ. In addition, an improvement trend was observed in UCMJ with both WBV B and WBV U. This finding adds to the previous data by Shin et al. (2015) who stated that WBV U improved symmetry. The magnitude of the asymmetry may be affected by the type and volume of activity in which the athlete is involved (Hart et al, 2016), which was not taken into account in the present study. In addition, the lack of obvious asymmetry is probably due to the fact that our sample consisted of former gymnasts and also to the fact that the vast majority of exercises performed with the lower limbs are performed using both legs, with the sole exception of a small number of exercises on the balance beam. Nevertheless, this finding is in agreement with the data provided by Bailey et al. (2015) who reported that the presentation of asymmetry is related to be task specific particularly in weaker athletes. However, our results could not be generalized because they refer only to former gymnasts. In this concept, further study is recommended in active gymnasts so that other parameters such as dominant foot, level of sport, etc. could be considered.

## CONCLUSION

WBV brings significant improvement in jump height on bilateral and unilateral squat jump and counter movement jump. Bilateral whole-body vibration was the most effective condition on bilateral and unilateral squat jump and counter movement jump performance. In contrast, unilateral whole-body the vibration condition significantly improves lower limbs symmetry during SJ performance.

The findings of the present study have practical applications. Gymnasts should engage in lower extremity training mainly with bipedal exercises, while in cases where technical exercises are performed with one leg, strengthening the other limb using the method of vibration is recommended.

# REFERENCES

Atkins, S. J., Bentley, I., Hurst, H. T., Sinclair, J. K., & Hesketh, C. (2016). The presence of bilateral imbalance of the lower limbs in elite youth soccer players of 7 different ages. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *30*, 1007-1013.

Bailey, C. A., Sato, K., Burnett, A., and Stone, M. H. (2015). Carry-over of force production symmetry in athletes of differing strength levels. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 29*, 3188-3196.

Bell, D. R., Sanfilippo, J. L., Binkley, N., & Heiderscheit, B. C. (2014). Lean mass asymmetry influences force and power asymmetry during jumping in collegiate athletes. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 28, 884-891.

Bobbert, M. F., <u>Gerritsen</u>, K. G., <u>Litjens, M. C.</u>, <u>Van Soest, A. J</u>. (1996). Why is countermovement jump height greater than squat jump height? *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 28, 11, 1402-1412. Bogdanis, G. C., Tsoukos, A., Kalkoiheri, O., Terzis, G., Veligekas, P., Brown, L. (2019). Comparison between unilateral and bilateral plyometric training on sihgle- and double-leg jumping performance and strength. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 33*, 3, 633-640.

Bosco, C., Cardinale, M., Tsarpela, O. (1999). Influence of vibration on mechanical power and electromyogram activity in human arm flexor muscles. *European Journal of Applied Physiology Occupational Physiology*, 79, 306-311.

Botton, C. E., Radaelli, R., Wilhelm, E. N., Rech, A., Brown, L. E., and Pinto, R. S. (2015). Neuromuscular Adaptations to Unilateral vs. Bilateral Strength Training in Women. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *1*,1924-1932.

Cardinale, M., Bosco, C. (2003). The use of vibration as an exercise intervention. *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews*, 31, 3-7.

Ceroni, D., Martin, X. E., Delhumeau, C., and Farpour-Lambert, N. J. (2012). Bilateral and gender differences during single-legged vertical jump performance in healthy teenagers. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 26, 2, 452–457.

Chelly, M. S., Ghenem, M. A., Abid, K., Hermassi, S., Tabka, Z., Shephard, R. (2010). Effects of in-season short-term plyometric training program on leg power, jump- and sprint performance of soccer players. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 24, 2670–2676.

Cochrane, D. J., Stannard, S. R. (2005). Acute whole body vibration training increases vertical jump and flexibility performance in elite female field hockey players. *British Journal of Sports Medicine, 39*, 860–865.

Cormie, P., Deane, R. S., Triplett, N. T., McBride, J. M. (2006). Acute effects of whole-body vibration on muscle activity, strength, and power. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 20, 27-61.

Costa, E. C., Moreira, A., Cavalcanti, B., Krinski, K., & Aoki, M. S. (2015). Effect of unilateral and bilateral resistance exercise on maximal voluntary strength, total volume of load lifted, and perceptual and metabolic responses. *Biology of Sport*, *32*, 35-40.

Croisier, J. L., Ganteaume, S., Binet, J., Genty, M., and Ferret, J. M. (2008). Strength imbalances and prevention of hamstring injury in professional soccer players: A prospective study. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, *36*, 1469– 1475.

Dallas, G., Kirialanis, P. (2013). The effect of two different conditions of wholebody vibration on flexibility and jumping performance of artistic gymnasts. *Science* of Gymnastics Journal, 5, 2, 67 – 77.

Dallas, G., Paradisis, G., Mellos, V. (2013). The effect of 4-week interrupted intervention program of different method of stretching on hamstring's flexibility. *Journal of Physical Education and Sport*, 13, 4, 517-521.

Dallas, G., Kirialanis, P., Mellos, V. (2014). The acute effect of Whole-Body Vibration on flexibility and explosive strength of young gymnasts. *Biology of Sport, 31*, 3, 233-237.

Dallas, G., Paradisis, G., Kirialanis, P., Mellos, V., Argitaki, P., Smirniotou, A. (2015). The acute effects of different training loads of whole-body vibration on flexibility and explosive strength of lower limbs in divers. *Biology of Sport, 32*, 235-241.

Dallas, G., Tsopani, D., Papouliakos, S., Riga, M., Korres, G. (2016). The acute effect of whole-body vibration training on postural control of elite rhythmic gymnasts. *Swedish Journal of Scientific Research, 3*, 11, 25-33.

Dallas, G., Dallas, C., Tsolakis, C. (2019). Acute enhancement of jumping performance after different plyometric stimuli in high level gymnasts is associated with post activation potentiation. *Medicinna dello Sport*, *72*, 1, 25-36.

Ford, K. R., Myer, G. D., Smith, R. L. & Hewett, T. E. (2003). Valgus knee motion during landing in high school female and male basketball players. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 35, 1745-1750.

Hakkinen, K., Kallinen, M., Linnamo, V., Pastinen, U. M., Kraemer, W. J. (1996). Neuromuscular adaptations during bilateral versus unilateral strength training in middle-aged and elderly men and women. *Acta Physiologica Scandinavica*, 158, 77-87.

Hart, N. H., Nimphius, S., Weber, J., Spiteri, T., Rantalainen, T., Dobbin, M., and Newton, R. U. (2016). Musculoskeletal asymmetry in football athletes: A product of limb function over time. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 8, 1379-1387.

Howard, J. D., and Enoka, R. M. (1991). Maximum bilateral contractions are modified by neurally mediated interlimb effects. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, *70*, 306–316.

Jacobs, P. L., Burns, P. (2009). Acute enhancement of lower-extremity dynamic strength and flexibility with whole-body vibration. Acute effects of whole-body vibration on muscle activity, strength, and power. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 23*, 51-57.

Keeley, D. W., Plummer, H. A., Oliver, G. D. (2011). Predicting asymmetrical lower extremity strength deficits in college-aged men and women using common horizontal and vertical power field tests: A possible screening mechanism. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 25, 1632-1637.

Kim, J., Park, Y., Seo, Y., Kang, G., Park, S., Cho, H., Moon, H., Kim, M., Yu, J. (2016). The effects of whole-body vibration exercise on isokinetic muscular function of the knee and jump performance depending on squatting position. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, 28, 159–161.

Kivlan, B. R., Martin, R. L. (2012). Functional performance testing of the hip in athletes: a systematic review for reliability and validity. International Journals Sports Physical Therapy, 7, 402– 412.

Kuruganti, U., Parker, P., Rickards, J., Tingley, M., and Sexsmith, J. (2005). Bilateral isokinetic training reduces the bilateral leg strength deficit for both old and young adults. *European Journal of Applied Physiology, 94*, 175–179.

Kuruganti, U., Murphy, T. (2008). Bilateral deficit expressions and myoelectric signal activity during submaximal and maximal isometric knee extensions in young, athletic males. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 102, 721-726.

Lapole, T., Perot, C. (2010). Effects of repeated Achilles' tendon vibration on triceps surae force production. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology, 20*, 648-654.

Lilley, E. S., Bradshaw, E. J., & Rice, V. J. (2007). Is jumping and landing technique symmetrical in female gymnasts? In H-J. Menzel, & M.H. Chagas (Eds.), XXV International Symposium on Biomechanics in Sports Proceedings, Federal University of the State of Minas Gerais in Belo Horizonte, Ouro Preto (p. 345-348).

Marin, P. J., Hazell, T. J., Garcia-Gutierrez, M. T., & Cochrane, D. J. (2014). Acute unilateral leg vibration exercise improves contralateral neuromuscular performance. *Journal of Musculoskeletal and Neuronal Interact*, *14*, 1, 58-67.

Marin, P. J., Bunker, D., Rhea, M. R., Ayllon, F. N. (2009). Neuromuscular activity during whole-body vibration of different amplitudes and foot wear conditions: Implications for prescription of vibratory stimulation. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 23*, 2311-2316.

Mayer, F., Schlumberger, A., Van Cingel, R., Henrotin, Y., Laube, W., Schmidtbleicher, D. (2003). Training and testing in open versus closed kinetic chain. *Isokinetics and Exercise Science*, 11, 181–187.

McCurdy, K. W., Langford, G. A., Doscher, M. W., Wiley, L. P., Mallard, K. G. (2005). The effects of short-term unilateral and bilateral lower-body resistance training on measures of strength and power. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 19, 9–15.

Mischi, M., Cardinale, M. (2009). The effects of a 28-Hz vibration on arm muscle activity during isometric exercise. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, *41*, 645-653.

Murphy, D. F., Connolly, D. A. J., Beynnon, B. D. (2003). Risk factors for lower extremity injury: A review of the literature. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 37, 13–29.

Myer, G. D., Brent, J. L., Ford, K. R., & Hewett, T. E. (2011). Real-time assessment and neuromuscular training feedback techniques to prevent anterior cruciate ligament injury in female athletes. *Strength and Conditioning Journal, 33*, 3, 21-35.

Newton, R. U., Gerber, A., Nimphius, S., Shin, J., Doan, B. K., Robertson, M., Pearson, D. R., Graig, B. W., Hakkinen, K., Kraemer, W. J. (2006). Determination of functional strength imbalance of the lower extremities. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 20, 971–977.

O'Donnell, S., Thomas, S. G., Marks, P. (2006). Improving the sensitivity of the hop index in patients with an ACL deficient knee by transforming the hop distance scores. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, 7, 9.

Park, G. D., Lee, J. C., Lee, J. (2014). The effect of low extremity plyometric training on back muscle power of high school throwing event athletes. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, *26*, 161–164.

Petit, P-D., Pensini, M., Tessaro, J., Desnuelle, C., Legros, P., Colson, S. S. (2010). Optimal whole body vibration settings for muscle strength and power enhancement in human knee extensors. Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology, 20, 1186-1195.

Petschnig, R., Baron, R., Albrecht, M. (1998). The relationship between isokinetic quadriceps strength test and hop tests for distance and one-legged vertical jump test following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Journal of Orthopethic and Sports Physical Therapy*, 28, 23–31.

Ramirez-Campillo, R., Burgos, C. H., Henríquez-Olguín, C., Andrade, D. C., Martínez, C., Álvarez, C., Castro-Sepúlveda, M., Marques, M. C., Izquierdo, M. (2015). Effect of unilateral, bilateral, and combined plyometric training on explosive and endurance performance of young soccer players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 29*, 1317 -1328.

Rejc, E., Lazzer, S., Antonutto, G., Isola, M., di Prampero, P. E. (2010). Bilateral deficit and EMG activity during explosive lower limb contractions against different overloads. *European Journal of Applied Physiology, 108*, 157-165.

Rhea, M. R., Kenn, J. G. (2009). The effect of acute applications of whole-body vibration on the iTonic platform on subsequent lower-body power output during the back squat. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 23, 58-61.

Rønnestad, B. R. (2004). Comparing the performance-enhancing effects of squats on a vibration platform with conventional squats in recreationally resistance-trained men. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *18*, 839–845.

Rouissi, M., Chtara, M., Owen, A., Chaalali, A., Chaouachi, A., Gabbett, T., Chamari, K. (2016). Effect of leg dominance on change of direction ability amongst young elite soccer players. *Journal of Sports Science*, *34*, 542-548.

Sale, D. G. (1992). Neural adaptation to strength training: In: P.V. Komi (Eds.), *Strength and power in Sport* (p. 249-265). London: Blackwell Scientific Publications.

Sato, K., & Heise, G. D. (2012). Influence of 1 weight distribution asymmetry on the biomechanics of a barbell squat. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *26*, 342-349.

Shin, S., Lee, K., Song, C. (2015). Acute effects of unilateral whole body vibration training on single leg vertical jump height and symmetry in healthy men. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, 27, 12, 3923-3928.

Speirs, D. E., Bennett, M. A., Finn, C. V., and Turner, A. P. (2016). Unilateral vs. Bilateral Squat Training for Strength, Sprints, and Agility in Academy Rugby Players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *30*, 386–92.

Stephens, T. M., Lawson, B. R., DeVoe, D. E., Reiser, R. F. (2007). Gender and bilateral differences in single-leg countermovement jump performance with comparison to a double-leg jump. *Journal of Applied Biomechanics*, 23, 190–202.

Taniguchi, Y. (1998). Relationship between the modifications of bilateral deficit in upper and lower limbs by resistance training in humans. *European Journal of Applied Physiology and Occupation Physiology*, 78, 3, 226–230.

Torvinen, S., Kannus, P., Sievänen, H., Järvinen, T. A. H., Pasanen, M., Kontulainen, S., Järvinen, T. L. N., Järvinen, M., Oja, P., Vuori, I. (2002). Effect of four-month vertical whole-body vibration on performance and balance. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 34, 1523–1528.

Tsopani, D., Dallas, G., Tsiganos, G., Papouliakos, S., Di Cagno, A., Korres, G., Riga, M., Korres, St. (2014). Short-term effect of whole-body vibration training on balance, flexibility and lower limb explosive strength in elite rhythmic gymnasts. *Human Movement Science, 33*, 149-158.

Yapicioglu, B., Colakoglu, M., Colakoglou, Z., Gulluoglu, H., Bademkiran, F., Ozkaya, O. (2013). Effects of a dynamic warm-up, static stretching or static stretching with tendon vibration on vertical jump performance and EMG responses. *Journal of Human Kinetics*, 39, 49-57.

Young, W. B., James, R., Montgomery, I. (2002). Is muscle power related to running speed with changes of direction? *Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, 42, 282–288.

# **Corresponding author:**

George Dallas National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, School of Physical Education and Sport Science 41, Ethnikis Antistaseos, 17237 Dafni, Athens Greece Phone: + 0030 210 727 6122 Fax: + 0030 210 727 6128 Email: gdallas@phed.uoa.gr

Article received: 14.10.2021 Article accepted: 7.12.2021